## Three New Phthalides from Gnaphalium adnatum

by Xing-Ping Zheng<sup>a</sup>)<sup>b</sup>), Qiong-Fang Cui<sup>c</sup>), Jing-Feng Zhao<sup>a</sup>), Li-Juan Yang<sup>d</sup>), Hong-Bin Zhang<sup>a</sup>), Xiao-Dong Yang<sup>\*a</sup>), and Liang Li<sup>\*a</sup>)

 <sup>a</sup>) Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry for Natural Resource, Ministry of Education, School of Chemical Science and Technology, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, P. R. China (phone/fax: + 86-871-65035538; e-mail: xdyang@ynu.edu.cn, liliang5758@sina.com)
<sup>b</sup>) Mengla Entry-Exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau, Mengla 666300, P. R. China
<sup>c</sup>) Yuxi Agricultural Product Quality and Safety Inspection Testing Center, Yuxi 653100,

P. R. China

<sup>d</sup>) Key Laboratory of Ethnic Medicine Resource Chemistry, State Ethnic Affairs Commission and Ministry of Education, Yunnan Minzu University, Kunming 650500, P. R. China

Three new phthalides, gnaphalides A – C (1–3, resp.), together with three known phthalides, were isolated from the aerial part of *Gnaphalium adnatum*. The structures of the new compounds were elucidated as 6-(1,1-dimethylprop-2-en-1-yl)-5,7-dihydroxy-2-benzofuran-1(3*H*)-one (1), 5-hydroxy-7-[(2-hydroxy-3-methylbut-3-en-1-yl)oxy]-2-benzofuran-1(3*H*)-one (2), and 1,3-dihydro-7-[(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)oxy]-1-oxo-2-benzofuran-5-yl  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (3) on the basis of spectral analyses. The structure of 1 was also confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis. The three known phthalides, identified as 5,7-dihydroxyisobenzofuran-1(3*H*)-one (4), anaphatol (5), and 7-*O*-( $\beta$ -glucopyranosyl)-5-hydroxyisobenzofuran-1(3*H*)-one (6), were isolated from the genus *Gnaphalium* for the first time.

**Introduction.** – The genus *Gnaphalium* (Asteraceae) is represented with 19 species in China, mostly growing in the southeastern part of the Yangtze River, and seven species have so far been found in Yunnan Province [1][2]. The chemical constituents reported so far from this genus include flavonoids, terpenoids, steroids, benzofuranones, and essential oils [3–6]. Some of these constituents showed antioxidant, antibacterial, anti-inflammation, and antitussive activities [7][8]. The aerial parts of *Gnaphalium adnatum* WALL. ex DC. in Xishuangbanna region have been used as Dainationality medicine for the treatment of cough, diarrhea, abdominal pain, and rheumatic pain. As a continuation of our studies on medicinal plants growing on the Yunnan-Tibet Plateau, *G. adnatum* was studied. To the best of our knowledge, no scientific study on this plant has hitherto been reported, except a general screening of Taiwanese plants for antibacterial activity against *Helicobacter pylori* [9].

From its aerial parts, three new phthalides, named gnaphalides A, B, and C (1-3, resp.), as well as three known phthalides, were isolated. The known phthalides, identified as 5,7-dihydroxyisobenzofuran-1(3*H*)-one (4) [10], anaphatol (5) [11], and 7-O-( $\beta$ -glucopyranosyl)-5-hydroxyisobenzofuran-1(3*H*)-one (6) [12], were isolated from the genus *Gnaphalium* for the first time (*Fig. 1*). Herein, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of the new phthalides 1-3.

<sup>© 2014</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



Fig. 1. Structures of gnaphalides A - C (1-3, resp.) and 4-6

**Results and Discussion.** – Gnaphalide A (1) was isolated as colorless flaky crystals. Its molecular formula was determined as  $C_{13}H_{14}O_4$  by HR-EI-MS (234.0890 ( $M^+$ )). The IR spectrum displayed characteristic absorptions for OH (3398 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and lactone (1694 cm<sup>-1</sup>) moieties. The UV spectrum showed absorption at 304 nm. From <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (*Table*), HMBC, HMQC, NOESY, and <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY data (*Fig. 2*), as well as the X-ray data, **1** was elucidated as 6-(1,1-dimethylprop-2-en-1-yl)-5,7-dihydroxy-2-benzofuran-1(*3H*)-one.

The <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DEPT) spectra (Table) evidenced the presence of 13 Catoms, including two Me groups ( $\delta(H)$  1.61 (s);  $\delta(C)$  27.2 and 27.2, resp.), a CH<sub>2</sub>O group ( $\delta$ (H) 5.19 (s);  $\delta$ (C) 70.0), an olefinic CH<sub>2</sub> group ( $\delta$ (H) 5.41 (dd, J = 6.6, 10.5);  $\delta$ (C) 114.0), an olefinic CH group ( $\delta$ (H) 6.35 (t, J = 10.5);  $\delta$ (C) 148.7), an aromatic CH group ( $\delta(H)$  6.42 (s);  $\delta(C)$  103.2), and seven quaternary C-atoms ( $\delta(C)$  41.2, 104.1, 117.9, 145,8, 157.2, 163.4, and 173.6). In the HMBC spectrum (Fig. 2), the aromatic Hatom ( $\delta$ (H) 6.42) showed <sup>3</sup>*J*-correlation with C(3) ( $\delta$ (C) 70.0) and C(7a) (104.1), and the CH<sub>2</sub>O group ( $\delta$ (H) 5.19) showed <sup>3</sup>*J*-correlation with C(4) ( $\delta$ (C) 103.2), C(7a) (104.1), and C(1) (173.6), suggesting that **1** was a 1(3H)-type phthalide. In addition, the olefinic CH<sub>2</sub> group ( $\delta$ (H) 5.41) showed <sup>3</sup>*J*-correlation with C(1') ( $\delta$ (C) 41.2), and the olefinic CH group ( $\delta$ (H) 6.35) showed <sup>3</sup>*J*-correlation with C(4',5') ( $\delta$ (C) 27.2) and aromatic C(6) (117.9), indicating the presence of a 1,1-dimethylprop-2-en-1-yl group and revealing its attachment at C(6). Other HMBC, <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY, and HSQC data, as well as the X-ray crystallographic analysis (Fig. 3), were in complete agreement with the assigned structure of 1 as 6-(1,1-dimethylprop-2-en-1-yl)-5,7-dihydroxy-2-benzofuran-1(3H)-one.

Gnaphalide B (2) was isolated as colorless needle crystals. The molecular formula was established as  $C_{13}H_{14}O_4$  by HR-EI-MS (250.0839 ( $M^+$ )). The IR spectrum displayed characteristic absorptions for OH (3519 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and lactone (1708 cm<sup>-1</sup>)

Posi- tion	1		2		3	
	$\delta(\mathrm{H})$	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(\mathrm{H})$	$\delta(C)$
1		173.6		172.3		167.7
3	5.19 (s)	70.0	5.14(s)	70.3	5.21 (s)	68.3
3a		145.8		153.9		151.6
4	6.42(s)	103.2	6.48(s)	101.9	6.76 ( <i>s</i> )	101.1
5		163.4		167.3		163.9
6		117.9	6.44(s)	101.0	6.70(s)	101.0
7		157.2		160.6		158.2
7a		104.1		105.5		106.3
1'		41.2	3.96 (d, J = 7.8)	73.8	4.65 (d, J = 4.8)	65.2
2′	6.35(t, J = 10.5)	148.7	4.30 - 4.28(m)	74.0	5.44 (d, J = 4.8)	119.1
3′	5.41 (dd, J = 10.5, 6.6)	114.0		145.3		137.8
4′	1.61(s)	27.2	5.04 (s), 4.87 (s)	113.0	1.76 (s)	18.1
5′	1.61(s)	27.2	1.77(s)	19.2	1.72 (s)	25.4
1″					5.01 (d, J = 6.6)	100.0
2″					3.31-3.27 ( <i>m</i> )	73.1
3″					3.41 - 3.38(m)	77.2
4′′					3.21-3.17 ( <i>m</i> )	69.6
5″					3.31–3.27 ( <i>m</i> )	76.5
6′′					3.74 - 3.69(m), 3.45 - 3.42(m)	60.6

Table. <sup>1</sup>*H*- and <sup>13</sup>*C*-*NMR* (300 and 75 MHz, resp.) Data of Gnaphalides A - C (1-3, resp.).  $\delta$  in ppm, J in Hz.



Fig. 2. Significant  ${}^{1}H,{}^{1}H$ -COSY (—) and HMB (H  $\rightarrow$  C) correlations of 1



Fig. 3. ORTEP Plot of X-ray crystal structure of 1

groups, and for an aromatic ring (1615 and 1482 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The UV spectrum showed absorption at 286 nm. From the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (*Table*), HMBC, HMQC, NOESY, and <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY data (*Fig. 4*), **2** was elucidated as 5-hydroxy-7-[(2-hydroxy-3-methylbut-3-en-1-yl)oxy]-2-benzofuran-1(3*H*)-one.

1640



## Fig. 4. Significant <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>1</sup>H-COSY correlations (---) and HMBCs $(H \rightarrow C)$ of 2

The <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DEPT) spectra (Table) revealed the presence of 13 Catoms, including two aromatic CH groups ( $\delta(H)$  6.48 (s) and 6.44 (s);  $\delta(C)$  101.9 and 101.0), a CH<sub>2</sub>O group ( $\delta$ (H) 5.14 (s);  $\delta$ (C) 70.3), an olefinic CH<sub>2</sub> group ( $\delta$ (H) 5.04, 4.87 (s);  $\delta$ (C) 113.0), a CHO group ( $\delta$ (H) 4.30–4.28 (*m*);  $\delta$ (C) 74.0), a CH<sub>2</sub>O group  $(\delta(H) 3.96 (d, J=7.8); \delta(C) 73.8)$ , a Me group  $(\delta(H) 1.77 (s); \delta(C) 19.2)$ , and six quaternary C-atoms ( $\delta$ (C) 105.5, 145.3, 153.9, 160.6, 167.3, and 172.3). These data, together with seven degrees of unsaturation, suggested that 2 was also a phthalide. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (DEPT) spectra exhibited the following signals of phthalide C-atoms:  $\delta(C)$ 172.3 (C(1)), 70.3 (C(3)), 153.9 (C(3a)), 101.9 (C(4)), 167.3 (C(5)), 101.0 (C(6)), 160.6 (C(7)), 105.5 (C(7a))). In the HMBC spectrum (*Fig. 4*), the olefinic CH<sub>2</sub> group  $(\delta(H))$ 5.04 and 4.87) showed <sup>2</sup>J-correlation with C(3') ( $\delta$ (C) 145.3), and <sup>3</sup>J-correlations with C(2') (74.0) and C(5') (19.2), the CH–O H-atom ( $\delta(H)$  4.30–4.28) showed <sup>3</sup>Jcorrelation with C(4') ( $\delta$ (C) 113.0) and C(5') (19.2), and the CH<sub>2</sub>O H-atom ( $\delta$ (H) 3.96) showed <sup>3</sup>*J*-correlations with C(7) ( $\delta$ (C) 160.6) and C(3') (145.3), indicating the presence of a 2-hydroxy-3-methylbut-3-enyl group and revealing its attachment at C(7). Other HMBC, <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY, and HSQC data were in complete agreement with the assigned structure of 2 as 5-hydroxy-7-[(2-hydroxy-3-methylbut-3-en-1vl)oxy]-2-benzofuran-1(3H)-one.

Gnaphalide C (**3**) was isolated as colorless needle crystals. The molecular formula was deduced as  $C_{19}H_{24}O_9$  from HR-EI-MS (396.1421 ( $M^+$ )). The IR spectrum displayed characteristic absorptions for OH (3550 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and lactone (1749 cm<sup>-1</sup>) groups, and for an aromatic ring (1615 and 1445 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The UV spectrum showed an absorption at 304 nm. From the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (*Table*), HMBC, HMQC, NOESY, and <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY data (*Fig. 5*), **3** was elucidated as 1,3-dihydro-7-[(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)oxy]-1-oxo-2-benzofuran-5-yl  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside.



Fig. 5. Significant <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY correlations (—) and HMBCs  $(H \rightarrow C)$  of **3** 

The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum (*Table*) displayed signals of two aromatic H-atoms ( $\delta$ (H) 6.76 (*s*, H–C(4)) and 6.70 (*s*, H–C(6))), a CH<sub>2</sub>O group (5.21 (*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>(3))), an olefinic CH group (5.44 (*d*, *J* = 4.8, H–C(2'))), a CH<sub>2</sub>O group (4.65 (*d*, *J* = 4.8, CH<sub>2</sub>(1'))), two

Me groups (1.76 (*s*, Me(4')) and 1.72 (*s*, Me(5'))). These data were closely similar to those of **5** (anaphatol) [10]. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum (*Table*) revealed the presence of phthalide C-atoms ( $\delta$ (C) 167.7 (C(1)), 68.3 (C(3)), 151.6 (C(3a)), 101.1 (C(4)), 163.9 (C(5)), 101.0 (C(6)), 158.2 (C(7)), 106.3 (C(7a))), and a prenyl group (65.2 C(1')), 119.1 C(2')), 137.8 C(3')), 18.1 C(4')), and 25.4 C(5'))). The rest of the C-atom signals suggested the presence of a  $\beta$ -glucose (six signals at  $\delta$ (C) 100.0 (C(1'')), 73.1 (C(2'')), 77.2 (C(3'')), 69.6 (C(4'')), 76.5 (C(5'')), and 60.6 (C(6''))), and the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signal at  $\delta$ (H) 5.01 (*d*, *J* = 6.6, H–C(1'')) evidenced the  $\beta$ -configuration of the glucose. Acid hydrolysis of **3** afforded glucose identified by co-TLC analysis with authentic sample. In the HMBC spectrum (*Fig.* 4), the CH<sub>2</sub>O H-atom ( $\delta$ (H) 4.65) showed <sup>3</sup>*J*-correlation with C(7) ( $\delta$ (C) 158.2), evidencing the attachment of the prenyloxy moiety at C(7); the anomeric H-atom ( $\delta$ (H) 5.01) showed <sup>3</sup>*J*-correlation with C(5) ( $\delta$ (C) 163.9), confirming the presence of the  $\beta$ -glucose residue at C(5) of the phthalide. Therefore, the structure of **3** was identified as 1,3-dihydro-7-[(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)oxy]-1-oxo-2-benzofuran-5-yl  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside.

Compounds 1-6 were evaluated by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay *in vitro* against a panel of human tumor cell lines, including leukemia (HL-60), breast carcinoma (MCF-7), lung carcinoma (A549), colon carcinoma (SW480), and myeloid liver carcinoma (SMMC-7721). All compounds lacked activities against all tumor cell lines investigated at a concentration of 40  $\mu$ M.

This work was supported by the *Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University* (IRT13095), *National Natural Science Foundation of China* (Nos. 21062025 and 21162042), *Natural Science Foundation of Yunnan Province* (2010GA014 and 2010CD090), and *Yunnan Educational Department* (ZD2014010), which are gratefully acknowledged.

## **Experimental Part**

General. M.p.: XT-4 melting-point apparatus; uncorrected. TLC: Silica gel  $GF_{254}$  (SiO<sub>2</sub>; Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, Qingdao, P. R. China). Column chromatography (CC): SiO<sub>2</sub> (100–200 and 200–300 mesh; Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory). Optical rotations: Jasco-20C digital polarimeter. UV Spectra: UV-210A spectrometer;  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) in nm. IR Spectra: Bio-Rad-FTS-135 spectrometer;  $\tilde{\nu}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR Spectra: Bruker AV-300 instrument;  $\delta$  in ppm rel. to Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard, J in Hz. EI-MS and HR-EI-MS: VG-autospec-3000 mass spectrometer; in m/z (rel. %). HR-ESI-MS: AB QSTAR Pulsar mass spectrometer; in m/z.

*Plant Material.* The aerial parts of *G. adnatum* were collected in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan Province, P. R. China, in May 2010. The identity of the plant material was verified by Prof. *Yong Tang*, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. R. China. A voucher specimen (No. 10-003) was deposited with the Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry for Natural Resources, Yunnan University, Kunming, P. R. China.

*Extraction and Isolation.* The powdered, air-dried plant material (3.0 kg) was extracted with 95% aq. EtOH ( $5 \times 201$ ) at r.t. for 10 d. The EtOH extract was evaporated to yield a residue (490 g), which was suspended in H<sub>2</sub>O and successively partitioned into petroleum ether- (PE; 49 g), AcOEt- (138 g), and BuOH-soluble (126 g) fractions. The PE-soluble fraction was subjected to repeated CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>; PE/AcOEt  $1:0 \rightarrow 0:1$ ) to yield five fractions, *Frs.* 1-5. *Fr.* 3 (1.5 g) was further separated by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>; PE/AcOEt 20:1) and recrystallized (hexane/acetone 5:1) to afford **1** (12 mg). The AcOEt-soluble fraction was subjected to CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>; PE/AcOEt, AcOEt, AcOEt, AcOEt/MeOH in increasing order of polarity). The fraction obtained with AcOEt/MeOH 1:1 (8.4 g) was resubjected to CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt 30:1) to

1642

furnish **5** (6.7 g). The fraction obtained with AcOEt/MeOH 1:5 (3.7 g) was further separated by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 25:1) to afford **2** (23 mg) and **4** (9 mg), from the head and tail fractions, resp. The BuOH-soluble fraction was subjected to CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>; AcOEt/MeOH 1:0 $\rightarrow$ 0:1) to yield five fractions, *Frs.* 1–5. *Fr.* 2 (1.6 g) was further purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 15:1) to give **6** (11 mg). *Fr.* 3 (1.4 g) was repeatedly purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 10:1) to yield **3** (13 mg).

*Gnaphalide A* (=6-(*1*,1-*Dimethylprop-2-en-1-yl*)-5,7-*dihydroxy-2-benzofuran-1*(3H)-*one*; **1**). Colorless flaky crystals (CDCl<sub>3</sub>). M.p. 155–157°. UV (MeOH): 304 (3.80). IR (KBr): 3398, 3184, 1694, 1618, 1434. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *Table.* EI-MS (pos.): 234 (100,  $M^+$ ), 219 (41), 201 (26), 191 (22), 175 (24), 161 (13), 147 (11), 115 (18), 91 (25), 77 (28), 65 (19). HR-EI-MS: 234.0890 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>; calc. 234.0892).

*Gnaphalide B* (=5-*Hydroxy*-7-*[*(2-*hydroxy*-3-*methylbut*-3-*en*-1-*yl*)*oxy*]-2-*benzofuran*-1(3H)-*one*; **2**). Colorless needles (MeOH). M.p. 212–214°.  $[a]_D^{20} = -12.4$  (c = 0.12, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 286 (3.82). IR (KBr): 3519, 3124, 2954, 1708, 1615, 1482, 1447. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR ((D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO): *Table*. EI-MS (pos.): 250 (3,  $M^+$ ), 205 (9), 180 (100), 179 (51), 162 (60), 151 (34), 137 (85), 134 (96), 121 (67), 105 (34), 92 (12), 77 (18), 71 (51), 65 (30). ESI-MS (pos.): 273 ( $[M + Na]^+$ ), 233, 217, 205, 167. HR-EI-MS: 250.0839 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sup>+</sup><sub>5</sub>; calc. 250.0841).

Gnaphalide C (=1,3-Dihydro-7-[(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)oxy]-1-oxo-2-benzofuran-5-yl  $\beta$ -D-gluco-pyranoside; **3**). Colorless needles (MeOH). M.p. 122–124°. [a]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> = -55.6 (c = 0.12, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 304 (3.90). IR (KBr): 3550, 2970, 1749, 1612, 1445. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR ((D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO): *Table*. EI-MS (pos.): 396 (7,  $M^+$ ), 329 (25), 234 (100), 219 (92), 216 (80), 179 (94), 167 (99), 145 (63), 137 (78), 127 (49), 115 (32), 91 (40), 85 (99), 77 (26), 69 (98). ESI-MS (pos.): 419 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>), 351, 329, 273, 257, 189, 167. HR-EI-MS: 396.1421 ( $M^+$ , C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sup>+</sup><sub>9</sub>; calc. 396.1420).

Acid Hydrolysis of **3**. A soln. of **3** (4 mg) in 2N HCl (5 ml) was heated for 2 h. After removing HCl by evaporation *in vacuo*, the mixture was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O (5 ml) and extracted with AcOEt (5 ml). The aq. layer was neutralized with 1N NaOH and subjected to TLC with standard  $\beta$ -glucose.

*X*-*Ray Crystal-Structure Analysis of* **1**.  $C_{13}H_{14}O_4 \cdot H_2O$ ,  $M_r$  252.26, T = 100(2) K, orthorhombic, space group *Iba2*, Z = 8, a = 16.1370(5) Å, b = 24.5821(8) Å, c = 6.4534(2) Å,  $a = 90.00^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 90.00^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 90.00^{\circ}$ , V = 2559.94(14) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu(CuK_a) = 0.844$  mm<sup>-1</sup>, 6062 reflections measured; 2010 independent reflections ( $R_{int} = 0.0266$ ). The final *R* indices ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ) were  $R^1 = 0.0536$  and  $wR(F^2) = 0.1585$ . The final *R* indices (all data) were  $R^1 = 0.0540$  and  $wR(F^2) = 0.1590$ . The goodness-of-fit on  $F^2$  was 1.051. *Flack* parameter, 0.4(3).

## REFERENCES

- 'Illustrated Handbook for Medicinal Materials from Nature in Yunnan', Ed. Yunnan Institute of Materia Medica, Yunnan Science and Technology Press, Kunming, 2005, Vol. 3, p. 168.
- Institutum Botanicum Kunmingenese Academiae Sinicae Edita, 'Flora Yunnanica', Science Press, Beijing, 2004, Vol. 13, pp. 196–198.
- [3] T. L. Meragelman, G. L. Silva, E. Mongelli, R. R. Gil, *Phytochemistry* 2003, 62, 569.
- [4] R. Torrenegra, J. Pedrozo, J. Robles, W. Waibel, H. Achenbach, Phytochemistry 1992, 31, 2415.
- [5] Z. H. X. Xi, Y. Wang, B. Liu, L. N. Sun, Herald Med. 2010, 29, 1462.
- [6] P. Sahakitpichan, W. Disadee, S. Ruchirawat, T. Kanchanapoom, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2011, 59, 1160.
- [7] F. Bakkali, S. Averbeck, D. Averbeck, M. Idaomar, Food Chem. Toxicol. 2008, 46, 446.
- [8] A. Rahman, S. C. Kang, Food Chem. 2009, 116, 670.
- [9] Y.-C. Wang, T.-L. Huang, FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 2005, 43, 295.
- [10] K. Xiao, L. J. Xuan, Y. M. Xu, D. L. Bai, Chin. Tradit. Herbal Drugs 2003, 34, 496.
- [11] B. Talapatra, M. K. Roy, S. K. Talapatra, Indian J. Chem., Sect. B 1980, 19, 927.
- [12] T. Morikawa, L.-B. Wang, K. Ninomiya, S. Nakamura, H. Matsuda, O. Muraoka, L.-J. Wu, M. Yoshikawa, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2009, 57, 853.

Received December 17, 2013